

GARP INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES



<https://garp.org.ng/gjibms>

Vol.1, Issue 3, Pp. 1-7; AUG., 2026

THE IMPACT OF EMPLOYEE RETENTION ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE: A REVIEW-BASED ANALYSIS OF CONTEMPORARY EVIDENCE (2024-2026)

HOSSANAH, Perepate Fetimi & IGBINOSUN, Blessing Modupe

Department Of Business Administration, College of Business and Management Studies,
Igbinedion University, Okada, Edo State, Nigeria.

hossanah.fetimi@iuokada.edu.ng; lgbinosun.blessing@iuokada.edu.ng

Corresponding Author: lgbinosun.blessing@iuokada.edu.ng

Abstract

Employee retention has become one of the most pressing human resource concerns confronting modern organizations, particularly as labour markets grow more competitive and employees grow more mobile. This article examines the relationship between employee retention and organizational performance by synthesizing recent scholarly and industry evidence published between 2024 and 2026. Drawing on a structured review of peer-reviewed journal articles, industry workforce surveys, and applied HR research, the study explores the drivers of retention, the theoretical foundations that explain employee stay-decisions, and the empirical linkages between workforce stability and firm-level outcomes such as productivity, profitability, service quality, and innovation capacity. The review finds consistent evidence that retention and performance are mutually reinforcing: organizations that invest in competitive reward systems, career development, managerial quality, recognition, and flexible work arrangements experience lower voluntary turnover and correspondingly stronger operational and financial outcomes, while high turnover imposes measurable costs on productivity, customer satisfaction, and institutional knowledge. The article concludes with practical recommendations for HR practitioners and organizational leaders seeking to strengthen retention as a lever for sustained performance.

Keywords: Employee Retention, Organizational Performance, Voluntary Turnover, Workforce Stability, Human Resource Management, Productivity and Competitive Reward System.

ARTICLE INFO

Received Date: 7th Jul., 2026

Date Revised Received: 18th Jul., 2026

Accepted Date: 25th Jul., 2026

Published Date: 5th Aug. 2026

Citation: Hossanah, Perepate Fetimi & Igbinosun, Blessing Modupe (2026): The Impact of Employee Retention on Organizational Performance: A Review-Based Analysis of Contemporary Evidence (2024-2026): GARP INTER J. of Bus. & Mgt. Sci. Vol. 1, Issue 3, Pp. 1-7 Aug. 2026.

Introduction

Organizations across every sector now operate in labour markets characterized by heightened employee mobility, shifting workforce expectations, and intensifying competition for skilled talent. Recent workforce data illustrate the scale of this challenge: industry tracking shows that a substantial share of employees remain open to changing jobs at any given time, and a large proportion of turnover is now understood to be preventable rather than inevitable (Paycor, 2026; Pin, 2026). This shift has repositioned employee retention from a peripheral HR administrative task to a central strategic concern that sits alongside financial performance, customer experience, and innovation on the executive agenda.

Employee retention refers to an organization's capacity to encourage employees to remain within the organization over a sustained period, typically through deliberate policies addressing compensation, career growth, work environment, leadership quality, and organizational culture (Gelencsér et al., 2025). Organizational performance, in turn, is commonly understood as the extent to which an organization achieves its productivity, financial, quality, and strategic objectives. The relationship between these two constructs has attracted growing scholarly attention because workforce stability directly shapes an organization's operational continuity, institutional knowledge base, and capacity for sustained competitive advantage.

Statement of the Problem

Despite widespread recognition that turnover is costly, many organizations continue to struggle with translating this awareness into effective retention practice. Recent reviews note that although research interest in retention has expanded considerably, the specific mechanisms linking human resource management practices to both retention and downstream performance outcomes remain incompletely understood (Fosu, 2025). Furthermore, evolving workforce dynamics including the growth of remote and hybrid work, generational shifts in job expectations, and declining trust in organizational leadership have altered the calculus of employee stay-decisions in ways that older retention models do not fully capture (DDI, 2025; TalentHR, 2026). This creates a need for an updated, evidence-based synthesis of how retention shapes performance under current labour market conditions.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the conceptual and theoretical foundations of employee retention and organizational performance.
2. To synthesize recent empirical evidence (2024–2026) on the factors that influence employee retention.
3. To assess the nature and strength of the relationship between employee retention and organizational performance.
4. To derive practical recommendations for strengthening retention as a performance-enhancing strategy.

Literature Review

Conceptualizing Employee Retention

Employee retention is widely defined as the set of deliberate organizational efforts aimed at creating conditions that encourage employees to remain with an employer rather than seek opportunities elsewhere. Gelencsér et al. (2025) describe retention as a holistic organizational phenomenon shaped simultaneously by compensation systems, working conditions, and the size and structure of the organization itself, noting that the factors influencing retention differ meaningfully between small, medium, and large enterprises. Other recent work frames retention not merely as the absence of turnover but as an active state of employee engagement, stability, and morale that organizations must continuously cultivate (Bans-Akutey et al., 2024).

Conceptualizing Organizational Performance

Organizational performance is typically operationalized across several dimensions, including productivity, profitability, service or product quality, customer satisfaction, and innovation capacity. Recent empirical work has demonstrated that these dimensions are measurably sensitive to workforce stability: firms experiencing higher turnover report significantly weaker productivity and profitability outcomes, alongside diminished customer satisfaction (IIBEAJ, 2025). This positions organizational performance not as an outcome independent of human resource dynamics, but as one that is directly conditioned by how well an organization manages its people.

Theoretical Framework

Several theoretical perspectives underpin contemporary retention research.

Equity theory, originally developed by Adams, remains a foundational lens: it holds that employees continuously evaluate whether the rewards they receive are proportionate to their contributions relative to others, and that perceived inequity drives withdrawal behaviours including voluntary exit (as discussed in Walden University dissertation research, 2024). Complementing this, job embeddedness theory explains retention through the accumulated organizational, social, and community ties that make leaving psychologically and practically costly, while career development and psychological contract theories explain why perceived stagnation or unmet expectations accelerate turnover intentions (Mishra, 2024).

Empirical Review: Drivers of Employee Retention

A convergent body of recent evidence identifies several consistent drivers of retention. Competitive and equitable compensation remains foundational, but recent studies emphasize that total rewards including recognition, benefits, and non-monetary incentives matter as much as base pay (Vantage Circle, 2026). Career development opportunities, including promotion pathways and skill-building programmes, are repeatedly identified as among the strongest predictors of employee retention, with recent workforce data indicating that employees are considerably more likely to remain with employers who visibly invest in their growth (Pin, 2026).

Managerial quality has also emerged as a decisive factor. Gallup's continuing research indicates that management accounts for the majority of variance in employee engagement, and that investment in manager coaching produces measurable gains in both performance and retention outcomes (Reward Gateway, 2026). Conversely, recent industry data show that trust in organizational leadership has declined sharply, with only a minority of employees reporting confidence in their leaders, and that employees who distrust leadership are substantially more likely to leave (DDI, 2025; Pin, 2026). Recognition practices constitute a further significant lever: longitudinal tracking of employees between 2022 and 2024 found that well-recognized staff were considerably less likely to have exited after a two-year period, even though only a small minority of employees' report feeling adequately recognized (Gallup–Workhuman, 2024, as cited in Paycor, 2026).

Work-life balance and flexibility have also solidified as baseline expectations rather than optional perks. Survey evidence indicates that a clear majority of employees are more likely to remain with employers who visibly prioritize work-life balance (SHRM, as cited in Vantage Circle, 2026), although newer findings suggest that fully remote onboarding, absent intentional relationship-building with mentors, may paradoxically elevate early-tenure resignation risk (TalentHR, 2026).

The Retention–Performance Linkage

Recent empirical studies provide direct evidence of a strong association between retention and firm-level performance. A 2025 study reported significant negative correlations between turnover and productivity, profitability, and customer satisfaction, alongside evidence that turnover inflates recruitment and training costs and disrupts operational consistency (IIBEAJ, 2025). Complementary systematic review evidence similarly concludes that retention strategies and organizational performance are closely interlinked, and recommends that firms adopt performance-oriented retention interventions rather than reactive, ad hoc responses to attrition (Fosu, 2025).

Emerging Trends: Technology and Retention

A growing stream of recent literature examines how artificial intelligence and data analytics are reshaping retention practice. Recent studies describe how AI-enabled personalized learning platforms help align employee development with organizational goals, sustaining engagement over time, while data-driven decision-making approaches are shown to meaningfully improve workforce productivity and retention outcomes (Appoh et al., 2025; Madduri et al., 2024, as cited in ACR Journal, 2025).

Emerging reinforcement-learning-based workforce optimization frameworks further illustrate the direction of travel toward predictive, data-informed retention management (Tripathi et al., 2025, as cited in ACR Journal, 2025).

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, desk-based research design structured as a focused narrative and thematic review of recent literature on employee retention and organizational performance. Given the objective of capturing the most current evidence, the review deliberately

restricts its primary evidentiary base to sources published between January 2024 and July 2026, supplemented selectively by foundational theoretical works where necessary for conceptual grounding.

Search Strategy

A systematic search was conducted across academic databases and indexed publishers, including peer-reviewed outlets such as the Open Journal of Social Sciences, the International Journal of Organizational Analysis, and Advances in Consumer Research, as well as reputable industry and workforce-research sources including Gallup, Mercer, the Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM), Development Dimensions International (DDI), and the Work Institute. Search terms combined variants of "employee retention," "staff turnover," "organizational performance," "firm performance," and "retention strategies."

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

1. Included: peer-reviewed articles, systematic reviews, and reputable workforce-research reports published between 2024 and 2026 addressing retention, turnover, or their relationship to organizational or firm performance.
2. Included (limited): seminal theoretical sources required to explain foundational constructs (e.g., equity theory), cited only for conceptual grounding.
3. Excluded: sources lacking clear methodological transparency, non-English sources, and materials not related to workplace or organizational contexts.

Analytical Approach

Selected sources were read in full and analyzed using thematic synthesis, a qualitative technique in which recurring concepts across sources are identified, grouped, and interpreted to construct higher-order themes.

Themes were organized around;

- (i) drivers of retention,
- (ii) mechanisms linking retention to performance, and
- (iii) emerging technological influences on retention practice. This approach does not involve new primary data collection; rather, it consolidates and interprets existing evidence to produce a coherent, current account of the field, consistent with recognized systematic review conventions in management research (Fosu, 2025).

Presentation of Results and Findings

The thematic synthesis of reviewed sources produced convergent findings across three areas: the primary drivers of retention, the measurable performance consequences of turnover, and the moderating role of technology and management practice.

Key Drivers of Retention Identified in Recent Literature

Driver	Key Finding (2024–2026 Evidence)	Representative Source(s)
Recognition	Well-recognized employees are considerably less likely to leave after two years; recognition-rich firms report markedly lower voluntary turnover.	Gallup–Workhuman, 2024; Paycor, 2026; Pin, 2026
Career development	The large majority of employees report longer tenure at organizations that visibly invest in their growth.	Pin, 2026; LinkedIn data, as cited in Pin, 2026
Managerial quality	Manager engagement strongly predicts team engagement; declining manager engagement correlates with rising attrition risk.	Reward Gateway, 2026; Pin, 2026
Leadership trust	Employee trust in leadership has fallen markedly; low-trust employees are substantially more likely to exit.	DDI, 2025
Work-life balance / flexibility	A clear majority of employees report greater likelihood of staying where flexibility and balance are prioritized.	SHRM, as cited in Vantage Circle, 2026
Onboarding quality	Strong onboarding programmes are associated with substantially improved early-tenure retention.	Vantage Circle, 2026

Performance Consequences of Turnover

Findings across the reviewed literature converge on a consistent pattern: elevated turnover is associated with measurable declines in productivity, profitability, and customer satisfaction, alongside increased recruitment and training expenditure (IIBEAJ, 2025). Reviewed sources further indicate that turnover disrupts operational continuity by creating skills and knowledge gaps that impair service quality and decision-making, particularly in knowledge intensive sectors where institutional knowledge is difficult to replace quickly (IIBEAJ, 2025; ResearchGate case study, n.d., cited in relation to internal mobility). Voluntary turnover trends have moderated somewhat in aggregate terms Mercer's 2025 Workforce Turnover Survey recorded a continued decline in average U.S. voluntary

turnover, from 17.3% in 2023 to 13.5% in 2024 and 13% in 2025 yet turnover remains highly uneven across industries and job levels, and a substantial share of departures continue to be assessed as preventable (Mercer, 2025; Paycor, 2026).

The Role of Organization Size and Context

Recent modelling research indicates that the relative importance of retention factors differs by organizational size: while compensation and job security dominate in smaller firms, larger enterprises show a comparatively stronger role for structured career pathways and internal mobility in sustaining retention (Gelencsér et al., 2025). This nuance suggests that a uniform, one-size-fits-all retention strategy is unlikely to be optimal across organizational contexts.

Technology-Enabled Retention Management

Findings from recent applied research indicate a shift toward predictive and data-informed retention management. Reviewed evidence suggests that data-driven decision-making and AI-enabled personalized development meaningfully strengthen both engagement and retention outcomes, and that predictive workforce analytics allow organizations to identify at-risk employees and intervene proactively rather than reactively (Appoh et al., 2025; Madduri et al., 2024; Tripathi et al., 2025, as cited in ACR Journal, 2025; TalentHR, 2026).

Synthesis

Taken together, the reviewed evidence supports a bidirectional and mutually reinforcing relationship between retention and performance: strong retention practice sustains the productivity, cost efficiency, and service quality that underlie organizational performance, while, conversely, strong organizational performance (through resources for competitive pay, development, and stable management structures) reinforces the conditions that make retention achievable.

Summary, Conclusion, and Recommendations

Summary

This review has examined the relationship between employee retention and organizational performance through a synthesis of contemporary (2024–2026) scholarly and industry evidence. The findings confirm that retention is shaped by a well-established, though evolving, set of drivers: recognition, career development, managerial quality, leadership trust, flexibility, and effective onboarding and that these factors carry direct, measurable consequences for organizational productivity, profitability, and customer satisfaction.

Conclusion

The evidence reviewed here reinforces the conclusion that employee retention is not a peripheral HR metric but a central determinant of organizational performance. Firms that fail to address preventable turnover incur compounding costs in productivity, service quality, and institutional knowledge, while those that invest deliberately in recognition, development, and managerial capability are positioned to sustain stronger and more resilient performance

outcomes. As workforce expectations continue to evolve including rising demand for flexibility, purpose, and trustworthy leadership retention strategy must be treated as a continuously adapting strategic function rather than a fixed policy set.

Recommendations

1. Organizations should implement structured, ongoing recognition programmes rather than infrequent, topdown recognition events, given the demonstrated link between consistent recognition and reduced voluntary turnover.
2. Career development pathways, including internal mobility and visible promotion criteria, should be embedded into workforce planning, particularly in larger organizations where such pathways carry disproportionate retention value.
3. Investment in manager training and coaching should be prioritized, given the strong empirical association between managerial quality, leadership trust, and team-level retention.
4. Flexible work arrangements should be treated as a baseline expectation rather than a discretionary benefit, while organizations using remote onboarding should build in deliberate early mentorship to offset elevated early-tenure attrition risk.
5. Organizations should adopt predictive, data-informed retention analytics where feasible, enabling proactive identification of at-risk employees rather than reactive responses to resignations.
6. Future primary research should further investigate how organization size, sector, and the growing use of AI-based HR tools jointly moderate the retention–performance relationship.

References

- ACR Journal. (2025). *Machine learning-driven human resource decision strategies for enhancing employee retention and organizational growth. Advances in Consumer Research.*
- Bans-Akutey, A., Abdullahi, A. M., & Afriyie, E. O. (2024). Employee retention and organizational performance. *Annals of*

- Management and Organization Research*, 5(3).
- Development Dimensions International. (2025). *Global leadership forecast 2025*.
- Fosu, A. (2025). Employee turnover and organizational performance: A systematic review. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 13(12), 156–169.
- Gallup, & Workhuman. (2024). *Employee recognition and retention: A longitudinal analysis (2022–2024)*. As cited in Paycor (2026).
- Gelencsér, M., Kömüves, Z. S., Hollósy-Vadász, G., & Szabó-Szentgróti, G. (2025). Modelling employee retention in small and medium-sized enterprises and large enterprises in a dynamically changing business environment. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 33(5), 1006–1038.
- International Interdisciplinary Business Economics Advancement Journal. (2025). The ripple effects of poor employee retention. *International Interdisciplinary Business Economics Advancement Journal*, 1–7.
- Mercer. (2025). 2025 workforce turnover survey.
- Paycor. (2026). *28 employee retention statistics employers need to know (2026)*.
- Pin. (2026). *Employee retention strategies: 10 data-backed methods (2026)*.
- Predictive Index. (2026). *Top employee retention strategies for 2026: How to retain your best talent*.
- Reward Gateway. (2026). *Strategies to enhance employee retention in 2026*.
- Society for Human Resource Management. (2025). *Work-life balance and retention survey*. As cited in Vantage Circle (2026).
- TalentHR. (2026). *Talent retention in 2026: 13 ways to keep your best employees*.
- Vantage Circle. (2026). *18 proven employee retention strategies for 2026 with tools*.
- Walden University. (2024). *Management strategies to improve employee retention and organizational performance (Doctoral dissertation)*. ScholarWorks.
- Work Institute. (2025). *2025 retention report*.